Reduction of Greenhouse Gas Emissions in Rice Production

Walkyria Bueno Scivittaro Embrapa Temperate Agriculture





XIII Conferencia Internacional de Arroz para América Latina y el Caribe

"Alianzas para la sostenibilidad de la producción arrocera"

Mayo 15 al 18, 2018 - Piura, Perú


Rice in the World

- Important cereal crops: half world's population
- Rice fields area: 160 million ha (11% world's arable lands)



Rice Environments: hydrological characteristcs classification






CH₄: 30%

N₂O: 11%




Rice Production Systems LAC



Source: GRisP (2013)

Methane emissions in wetland rice fields






Source: http://www.ibp.ethz.ch/research/environmentalmicrobiology/research/Wetlands



Main processes involved in N₂O release

Fertilizer management




Adapted from Hénault et al. (2005).

N₂O production nitrification/denitrification

 N_2 O emission Aerobic > Anaerobic







Water management

Mid-season drainage

Alternate wetting and drying

Intermittent irrigation

Controlled irrigation

CH₄

N₂C






Water Management Options

- Mid-season drainage: envolve a distinct period of interrupted irrigation during the crop growth phase (usually a short-term drainage 5-20 days vegetative phase).
- Alternate wetting and drying: is the periodic drying and reflooding of the rice field. Time intervals
 between dry and wet contions appear to be too short to facilitate the shift from aerobic to anaerobic soil
 conditions.
- Intermittent drainage/irrigation: involves a repetition of free drainage and irrigation.
- Controlled irrigation: comprises different water-saving managements, as reduction in the period of irrigation (delay flooding and early supression of irrigation), and reduction of water depth (lower water depth, saturation soil) with potential to reduce water use by rice and GHG emissions.




AWD - multiple drainage



Source: Tarlera et al. (2016).

AWD - multiple drainage



90 100 110 120 130140 150 160

Seasonal CH₄ emissions


Seasonal CH₄ and N₂O emissions and rice grain yield for two irrigation systems and three seasons. Treinta y Tres, Uruguay.

Season	Treatment	CH ₄ ¹	N ₂ O ²	Grain yield
			kg ha ⁻¹ -	
1	CF30	208.2ª	0.3	11171a
1	AWDI	93.3ª	0.4	10170a
2	CF30	249.4ª	- 57% 1.0	10387ª
2	AWDI	106.3b	1.2	8700b
2	CF30	248.8ª	0.6	9803ª
3	AWDI	95.7₺ —	-62% 1.9	8992ª

Different letters indicate diferences between treatments (p < 0.05)

Source: Tarlera et al. (2016).

AWD - multiple drainage



Seasonal N₂O emissions / Rice yields

Seasonal CH₄ and N₂O emissions and rice grain yield for two irrigation systems and three seasons. Treinta y Tres, Uruguay.

Season	Treatment	CH ₄ ¹	N_2O^2	Grain yield
			kg ha-1-	
1	CF30	208.2ª	0.3	11171a
1	AWDI	93.3ª	0.4	10170a
2	CF30	249.4ª	1.0	10387ª
2	AWDI	106.3b	1.2	8700b
2	CF30	248.8a	0.6	9803ª
3	AWDI	95.7⁵	1.9	8992ª

Different letters indicate diferences between treatments (p < 0.05)

Source: Tarlera et al. (2016).

AWD - multiple drainage / Global warming potential

Global warming potential (GWp) and Yield scaled GWP for three rice seasons.

Season	Treatment	CH₄ GWP	N ₂ O GWP	GWP reduction	Yield scaled GWP	Yield scaled GWP reduction
	_	kg CO ₂ eq	ha ⁻¹	%	kg CO ₂ eq kg grain yield-1	%
1	CF 30	5205 98%	81		0.47	
1	AWDI	2333 95 %	110	54	0.24	49
2	CF 30	6234 96 %	288		0.63	
2	AWDI	2658 88%	347	(54)	0.35	(45)
2	CF 30	6219 97 %	193		0.65	
3	AWDI	2392 81%	578	(54)	0.33	(49)

CF30 = continuous flooding after 30 days of emergence; AWDI = controlled deficit irrigation allowing wetting and drying.




Conventional tillage


No-tillage

Reduced tillage (frequency, intensity)

Fall tillage / "antecipated"




Mitigation Strategies: conventional tillage X minimum tillage technologies




CT: rice straw and winter crop residues are incorporated into soil in spring, acting as a source of labile C for CH₄ production.

MT: rice straw is incorporated into soil in fall/winter (non-flooded conditions). Part of the labile carbon is converted into CO₂, decreasing CH₄ emission potential once the area is flooded again to next rice crop. The area is ready before, allowing be sown in the ideal time.




Seasonal CH_4 (a) and N_2O (b) emission by growing season and tillage management.



Partial global warming potential (pGWP) (c), rice grain yield, and yield-scaled pGWP by growing season and tillage management.

Fertilizer Management / Environmental Impact



Nitrogen sources: effect on N₂O and CH₄ emissions (Ghosh et al., 2014)

Total seasonal CH₄ and N₂O emission in an upland irrigated rice field of North India.

Treatment	Total CH ₄ emission (kg ha ⁻¹)	Total N ₂ O emission (g ha ⁻¹)
No nitrogen	24.5 ± 3.7a	37.8 ± 7.1a
Urea	37.3 ± 5.1e	167.9 ± 7.9d
Ammoniun sulphate	33.0 ± 2.1d	151.4 ± 7.9c
Potassium nitrate	28.1 ± 1.0c	186.7 ± 20.1e
Urea + DCD	29.0 ± 2.7c	79.5 ± 10.8b
Ammonium sulphate + DCD	28.7 ± 3.4c	81.9 ± 6.7b
Potassium nitrate + DCD	26.4 ± 1.0b	167.5 ± 10.7d


Values followed by same letter are not significantly different from each other at 5% level of significance according to DMRT.

↑ NH₄+: ↑ methanotrophic, CH₄ oxidation; ↓ CH₄ emission ↑ SO₄²-: ↑ sulfate reducing bacteria and methanogens; ↓ CH₄ production

Selection of Rice Cultivar



- Inter-varietal differences in CH₄ emissions from rice fields (production, oxidation, and transport capacities)
- Varietal diferences in CH₄ emissions are regulated by the amount of root exudates and degrading roots (substrate availability); tillers number; leaf area and quantity; duration in the field; aerenchyma structure, etc.
- Varietal-specific differences in CH₄ emissions: masked by crop management practices; vary among seasons




Methane emission before and after spikelet removal expressed relative to the emission at 58 days after transplanting.

High yield and low emitting varieties: sustainable approach GHG emissions reductions!



Crop diversification



Partial global warming potential ($CH_4 + N_2O$ in CO_2 equivalent) in lowland fields cultivated with soybean, sorghum and flooded rice under conventional tillage in Southern Brazil.

Source: Scivittaro et al. (2017)

Considerations:



- Environmental-friendly agricultural production system with low C footprint is a crucial strategy that will drive international agricultural markets in the near future
- Crop management practices influence GHG emissions in rice fields, and modifications in traditional crop management practices possess a huge potential to overcome GHG emissions
- Several options to mitigate GHG emissions from paddy rice fields:
 - Water management
 - Tillage permutations
 - Managing organic and fertilizer inputs
 - Selection of rice cultivar
 - Crop diversification / crop rotation
- Changes of the management practices influence CH₄, N₂O e CO₂ (different mechanisms, antagonistic effects)
- Estimation of GWP of different approaches: suitable option



